1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x In the Matter of Index No. 08-01789 THE SIPA LINK, Debtors. -----x April 7, 2009 United States Custom House One Bowling Green New York, New York 10004 Motion to approve bidding procedures on Short Notice B E F O R E: HON. BURTON R. LIFLAND, U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

	Pg 2 01 8	
		2
1		
	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	BAKER HOSTETLER, LLP	
	Counsel to the Trustee	
4	45 Rockefeller Plaza	
	New York, New York 10017	
5		
	BY: MARC E. HIRSCHFIELD, ESQ.	
6	-and-	
	AMY F. VANDERWAL, ESQ.	
7		
8		
9		
	LAW OFFICES OF DAVID C. McGRAIL	
10	Attorneys for Castor Pollux Securities	
	676A Ninth Avenue	
11	New York, New York 10036	
12	BY: DAVID C. McGRAIL, ESQ.	
13		
14		
	CHOATE HALL & STEWART	
15	Attorneys for Castor Pollux Securities	
1.0	Two International Plaza	
16	Boston, Massachusetts 02110	
17	BY: DOUGLAS R. GOODING, ESQ.	
18		
19		
20 21		
21		
23		
23 24		
25		

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

3 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: The Sipa Link. Good morning 3 everyone. 4 MR. HIRSCHFIELD: Good morning, Your My name is Marc Hirschfield, and I am an attorney 5 Honor. with the law firm of Baker Hostetler. With me today is my 6 7 colleague, Amy Vanderwal. We are the counsel for the trustee. 8 9 We are here this morning, Your Honor, on the trustee's motion to establish the bid and auction 10 11 procedures for the sale of the Debtors' market-making 12 business. 13 As we set forth in our motion, upon his appointment the trustee began his efforts to sell the 14 15 marketplace operations, and in that regard he retained the 16 Lazard firm to assist in the marketing. Lazard contacted over 100 potentially interested parties, and of those 17 18 contacted about half, 46 to be exact, submitted, and signed confidentiality agreements. 19 20 In terms of the people who were contacted, 21 they include entities in the market-making business, 2.2 private equity firms, hedge firms and other strategic 23 You have 46 parties who conducted due diligence 24 and have expressions of interest and we negotiated with 25 them and that led to the agreement with, and they are in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the courtroom today, Castor Pollus Securities, LLC, and they agreed to serve as the stalking horse bidder. Pursuant to a stalking horse bidding they will pay to the Trustee \$500,000 to close, and then over the course of several years the earn-outs of up to \$15 million. Since we filed the motion, a number of entities, some of whom were previously contacted, a number of new people came forward expressing interest in these We hope to have a vibrant auction when that assets. happens in a couple of weeks. We are here this morning to establish the bidding procedures, set forth more fully in the motion. In summary what we propose is the following: Any party who wishes to make a bid for those assets, we would propose that they do so by April 22 and we propose the bidders provide us with a cash deposit equal to 10 percent of the closing payment. And, in addition, they provide us by that date with a market version of the purchase agreement. If any bids are received, we propose to have an auction on April 27, which is a Monday, and we will have this to chambers on the 28th for the sale hearing.

At the auction we propose that the bidding increments be in \$35,000 increments, and the first bid of any competing bidder will have to be at least \$550,000 plus

4

consideration, present value of which equals the Castor Pollux bid, so that's \$50,000 in the cash portion.

In connection with the sale we agreed to pay Castor Pollux a breakup fee of \$15,000 in the event another bidder comes in and wins the assets.

The \$15,000 is 3 percent of the closing date payments by Castor Pollux, and we submit that amount is reasonable and in line with what has been granted in other contacts.

I know technically in the breakup fee we didn't give any value to the earn-out payments, just the cash-out portion we gave credit to in terms of figuring out what the breakup fee ought to be. In connection with the fee we seek to implement procedures for the assumption and assignment of the executory contracts. A number of contracts are identified in the purchase agreement we assume and assign to Castor Pollux or whoever the winning bidder is. We will do the calculations and we propose to give notice to each of the counterparties and to let them know that the contracts will be assumed and assigned, and we believe the cure damages are zero.

We propose that the deadline of April 22, which is the same date as the bids are due, it be the deadline for the parties to object to the cure amounts or to the assumption and assignments of contracts.

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

6

We believe that those procedures in total are reasonable and are aimed to provide the trustee with the highest and best bid for these assets and we respectfully request the Court to enter an order approving them. We served the motion in accordance with Your Honor's order from the last order from last week on the noticing procedures, the deadline to file objections was last Friday. No objection were filed by then or since then. So, therefore, we would respectfully request that the Court grant this portion of the motion. THE COURT: Does anyone else want to be heard? Is there going to be any discussion or indication as to what might be the highest or the best in connection with the competing bid, i.e., earn-out period, the total amount? MR. HIRSCHFIELD: We discussed that with Castor Pollux. THE COURT: And what is the earn-out? it a percentage of the business? MR. HIRSCHFIELD: Yes. There are two different earn-outs, one is based upon total sales and that is a \$3 million figure. The rest is based upon EBITA and its calculations in the agreement as to how that works. But to answer your first question, obviously, cash is king

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

7 1 and we prefer to get the most we can on the closing date. 2 In terms of the earn-out, we would consider 3 both the likelihood of the earn-out being paid; the financial wherewithal of the people who we are dealing 4 with; the speed at which it will get paid and the way it is 5 calculated. So we reserve the right to work with Lazard 6 7 and others to figure out the present value of each of those payments and we will give as much credit as we believe is 8 9 just. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. Does anyone else 11 want to be heard? 12 Haring no response, the application is 13 granted. 14 MR. HIRSCHFIELD: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 May I approach with an order? 16 I have approved the THE COURT: Yes. order. 17 18 MR. HIRSCHFIELD: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

```
8
1
                      CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
      STATE OF NEW YORK
                              }
                               }
                                    ss.:
 4
      COUNTY OF NEW YORK
                               }
 5
                          I, MINDY CORCORAN, a Shorthand Reporter
      and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do
 6
 7
      hereby certify:
 8
                      That I reported the proceedings in the
9
      within entitled matter, and that the within transcript is a
10
      true record of such proceedings.
11
                      I further certify that I am not related, by
      blood or marriage, to any of the parties in this matter and
12
13
      that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this
14
      matter.
                      IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
15
16
      hand this 7th day of April, 2009.
17
18
                          MINDY CORCORAN
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```